

Anonymous Company's Reliance on Now-Vacated PHH Decision Insufficient to Halt CFPB Investigation

March 31, 2017 | Allen H. Denson and Shannon L. McNeal

Last month, an anonymous pension advance company sued the CFPB arguing that the Bureau lacked authority to issue a civil investigative demand (CID) following the D.C. Circuit's decision in *PHH Corp. v. CFPB* (a case holding the CFPB's single-Director structure unconstitutional). "John Doe Company" unsuccessfully sought a preliminary injunction from a federal district court. Last week in a 2-1 decision, the D.C. Circuit denied John Doe's emergency motion for injunction to halt the CFPB's investigation, "[g]iven the company's failure to establish a likelihood of success on the merits of its pre-enforcement challenge."

The court indicated that the company's reliance on *PHH* was simply "not enough" because the *PHH* decision has been vacated. Additionally, the court distinguished John Doe Co. from *PHH Corp.* based on its procedural posture. *PHH Corp.*'s suit followed a completed CFPB investigation and the issuance of a \$109 million fine; John Doe Co., on the other hand, tried to enjoin the CFPB from *attempting* to enforce its CID through a petition to the district court.

The company also could not establish that it would suffer irreparable harm absent an injunction. While the company had challenged that even publication of its name, through the CFPB's standard process of publicizing the names of companies who formally petition the CFPB director to modify a CID, would harm its reputation, the court was unpersuaded, calling the argument "unsubstantiated and conclusory."

The dissenting member of the panel noted that he would have granted the motion because in his view "the CFPB's structure is likely to be ruled unconstitutional," which is perhaps a sign of the ultimate outcome of the CFPB's *en banc* appeal in *PHH*. We will continue to monitor both the *John Doe Co.* case and the *PHH* case for major updates.

Hudson Cook, LLP provides articles, webinars and other content on its website from time to time provided both by attorneys with Hudson Cook, LLP, and by other outside authors, for information purposes only. Hudson Cook, LLP does not warrant the accuracy or completeness of the content, and has no duty to correct or update information contained on its website. The views and opinions contained in the content provided on the Hudson Cook, LLP website do not constitute the views and opinion of the firm. Such content does

not constitute legal advice from such authors or from Hudson Cook, LLP. For legal advice on a matter, one should seek the advice of counsel.

[SUBSCRIBE TO INSIGHTS](#)

HUDSON COOK

Hudson Cook, LLP is a national law firm representing the financial services industry in compliance, privacy, litigation, regulatory and enforcement matters.

7037 Ridge Road, Suite 300, Hanover, Maryland 21076
410.684.3200

[hudsoncook.com](https://www.hudsoncook.com)

© Hudson Cook, LLP. All rights reserved. Privacy Policy | Legal Notice
Attorney Advertising: Prior Results Do Not Guarantee a Similar Outcome

