

## FTC Hosts Workshop to Examine Proposed Changes to Its Safeguards Rule

August 31, 2020 | Nora R. Udell

The Federal Trade Commission held a virtual workshop on July 13, 2020, to examine its proposed amendments to the Safeguards Rule. The Safeguards Rule, promulgated under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, requires financial institutions, including auto dealers, to develop, implement, and maintain a comprehensive information security program. The rule hasn't been updated since it originally became effective in 2003, and the FTC is freshening it up! If you want to submit comments about the proposed amendments, you have until August 12, 2020, to do so.

The workshop examined many of the FTC's proposed changes, including that financial institutions must encrypt customer data, use multifactor authentication to access customer data, and have a single qualified individual, called the Chief Information Security Officer, to be responsible for overseeing, implementing, and enforcing the information security program. The amendments further require institutions to perform a written risk assessment, conduct continuous monitoring or annual penetration testing and biannual vulnerability assessments, prepare a written incident response plan, and prepare an annual written report by the CISO.

A hallmark of the original Safeguards Rule is its flexibility. Since 2003, the rule has provided general guidance for an information security program without being overly prescriptive about what it must include. The FTC explained in its 2019 proposed amendments, and reiterated at the recent workshop, that it wants to retain that flexibility while also providing more detailed guidance about what an appropriate information security program entails.

Cost and scalability for smaller businesses were the topic of many comments the FTC received and much of the all-day workshop. Lee Waters, IT Manager at McCloskey Motors in Colorado Springs, who has experience implementing the current Safeguards Rule, spoke on the "Information Security Programs and Smaller Businesses" panel. He detailed the costs that dealerships could expect in becoming compliant with the proposed changes to the rule. For example, he reviewed the potential costs of hiring an in-house CISO or outsourcing that role, implementing multifactor authentication and penetration testing, and updates to physical security.

The panelists discussed methods to mitigate these costs, which, I'm sure you can imagine, were well into 6-figure territory. For example, the biggest cost likely is hiring a

CISO. Waters discussed using a current IT employee to fill the CISO role, with assistance from an outside service provider or vendor. For dealerships without an IT staff, a service provider or vendor may be the only option. In general, three models for the CISO role were discussed: (1) an in-house model where an existing member of the team, with proper training, acts as the CISO; (2) an outsource model where the company engages a service provider to manage the program; and (3) a hybrid approach where an employee manages the program and outsources activities as needed for expertise.

A little good news is that the cost to implement encryption and multifactor authentication will be more manageable. Vendor products are available for encryption, and some you already use, like Microsoft products, may have encryption capabilities. Some companies offer free multifactor authentication for up to a certain number of end-users.

A little more good news is that the proposed changes would exempt certain small businesses from most of the rule's requirements. Financial institutions exempt from most of the Safeguards Rule requirements are those that maintain customer information on fewer than 5,000 consumers.

Hudson Cook, LLP provides articles, webinars and other content on its website from time to time provided both by attorneys with Hudson Cook, LLP, and by other outside authors, for information purposes only. Hudson Cook, LLP does not warrant the accuracy or completeness of the content, and has no duty to correct or update information contained on its website. The views and opinions contained in the content provided on the Hudson Cook, LLP website do not constitute the views and opinion of the firm. Such content does not constitute legal advice from such authors or from Hudson Cook, LLP. For legal advice on a matter, one should seek the advice of counsel.

**SUBSCRIBE TO INSIGHTS**

# HUDSON COOK

Hudson Cook, LLP is a national law firm representing the financial services industry in compliance, privacy, litigation, regulatory and enforcement matters.

7037 Ridge Road, Suite 300, Hanover, Maryland 21076  
410.684.3200

**[hudsoncook.com](https://hudsoncook.com)**

© Hudson Cook, LLP. All rights reserved. Privacy Policy | Legal Notice  
Attorney Advertising: Prior Results Do Not Guarantee a Similar Outcome

